

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/522,185	08/26/2005	David William Sheel	264240US2PCT	3653	
22850	7590 10/06/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
0	MCCLELLAND	BERRY, F	BERRY, RENEE R		
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			1762		
		DATE MAILED: 10/06/2006			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				()			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/522,185	SHEEL ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Renee R. Berry	1762				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication reply	n appears on the cover shee	t with the correspondence ad	dress			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPORTED IN THE MAILING IN THE MAILIN	IG DATE OF THIS COMMU FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, ma on. period will apply and will expire SIX (6) It statute, cause the application to becom	UNICATION. In a reply be timely filed MONTHS from the mailing date of this come and the mailing date of this come and the second seco				
Status							
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
2a) <u></u>	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠	This action is non-final.					
3) 🗌)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4) 🛛	Claim(s) 25-48 is/are pending in the appli	cation.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)	Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)⊠	Claim(s) <u>25-48</u> are subject to restriction a	nd/or election requirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers	•					
9)[The specification is objected to by the Exa	miner.					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)] accepted or b)☐ objected	to by the Examiner.				
•	Applicant may not request that any objection t	o the drawing(s) be held in abe	yance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the c	orrection is required if the draw	ring(s) is objected to. See 37 Cl	FR 1.121(d).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	ne Examiner. Note the attac	hed Office Action or form PT	ſ O -152.			
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
,	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	reign priority under 35 U.S.0	C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a,	1. Certified copies of the priority docu	ments have been received					
	Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the		• •	Stage			
	application from the International B	·		_			
* (See the attached detailed Office action for	a list of the certified copies i	not received.				
Attach-s-	rt(e)						
Attachmen	n(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔀 Intervie	ew Summary (PTO-413)				
2) Notice	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94	8) Paper	No(s)/Mail Date				
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	5) Motice 6) Other:	of Informal Patent Application				

Application/Control Number: 10/522,185

Art Unit: 1762

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 25-45 are, drawn to a method of depositing titania.

Group II, claim(s) 46-48 are, drawn to a substrate.

The inventions listed as Groups I and II do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: The method is considered suitable for coating a continuous film or a series of substrates supplied semi-continuously, which is shown by the PCT search report reference D1: Patent Abstracts of Japan vol. 2000, no. 14, 5 March 2001. Also, the determination of the plasma density is considered as an optimization of the known process. Therefore, the special technical feature lacks novelty.

A telephone call was made to Marvin Spivak on Friday, September 1, 2006 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Renee R. Berry whose telephone number is (571) 272-1459. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 to 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tim Meeks can be reached on 571-272-1423. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 10/522,185

Art Unit: 1762

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Renee R. Berry August 31, 2006

TIMOTHY MEEKS